

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/800,839	SHIMIZU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Susan T. Tran	1615	

All Participants:

(1) Susan T. Tran.

(2) Elaine M. Ramesh.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

10 and 11

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

to place the claims in better condition for allowance, it was suggested to: 1) amend the claims to read as "an oral rapidly disintegrable solid"; and 2) correct typographical error "(a)" to "(i)". Applicant's attorney authorized the Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)